Docket No.: 2091-0288P

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:		
Yukita GOTOHDA et al.		
Application No.: 10/649,824	Confirmation No.: 9008	
Filed: August 28, 2003	Art Unit: 2622	
For: METHOD, DEVICE, AND PROGRAM FOR CONTROLLING IMAGING DEVICE	Examiner: J. P. Misleh	
REQUEST FOR REF (IMPROPER CHARGE OF DEPO		
MS 16 Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450		
Sir:		
I. REFUND REQUEST		
This is a request for a refund with respect to the shown on the statement for the month of August 2008 for		
application paten	ut	
A copy of the monthly statement in accompanies this request.	which the error referred to occurs,	

II. FEES CHARGED FOR WHICH REFUND REQUESTED

		AMOUNT OF REFUND
		REQUESTED
	filing fee	
	search fee	
	examination fee	
	surcharge for filing the basic filing on a date later than the filing date of the application (37 C.F.R. § 1.16(e))	
	and/or	
	surcharge for filing the oath or declaration on a date later than the filing date of the application (37 C.F.R. § 1.16(e))	
\boxtimes	extension of term	
	⊠ first month	\$ <u>120.00</u>
	second month	
	third month	
	fourth month	
	excess claims	
	issue fee	
	petition fee	

Application	1 No.: 10/649,824	Docket No.: 2091-0288P
	patent maintenance fee	
	first maintenance fee	
	second maintenance fee	
	third maintenance fee	
	patent maintenance fee surcharge	
	Other:	
	TOTAL REFUND REQUESTED	<u>\$120.00</u>

III. EXPLANATION OF WHY CONTESTED CHARGE IS IN ERROR

A fee of \$120.00 was erroneously charged to our deposit account on August 4, 2008 for the first-month extension of time. We received a Restriction Requirement with a mailing date of May 28, 2008. According to the Requirement, the statutory period for response was set to expire 3 months or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of the communication. We prepared and filed the Restriction Requirement by the due date of August 28, 2008, as set forth by the PTO. A copy of the Office Action is attached hereto. Therefore, this was a PTO error and the first month extension fee in the amount of \$120.00 should be refunded.

IV. MANNER OF REFUND

Please make refund by crediting Account No. 02-2448.

Application No.: 10/649,824 Docket No.: 2091-0288P

We respectfully request that the attached copy of this letter be returned to us with an indication that the credit has been processed.

Dated: September 15, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

Michael R. Cammarata

Registration No.: 39,491

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

Attachment(s)

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP Aug 2008 Deposit Account Reconciliation

Date	Reference No.	e Docket Nu	mber	Fee	Code BSKE	Code Fee
4-Aua	10649824	2091-0288P	1251	ĐΧ	\$120.00	

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMAT		CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,824	08/28/2003	Yukita Gotohda	Yukita Gotohda 2091-0288P 9008	
	7590 05/28/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		MISLEH, JUSTIN P		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/28/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	1 - 4 - 4			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/649,824	GOTOHDA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	JUSTIN P. MISLEH	2622		
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 M	<u>arch 2008</u> .			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 57, 59, 61, and 62</u> is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21 - 33 and 43 - 56</u> is 5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 57, 59, 61, and 62</u> are subject to re	s/are withdrawn from consideratio			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accention and applicant may not request that any objection to the	epted or b)□ objected to by the E			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)	» —			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

DETAILED ACTION

Note to Applicant: The Examiner for the present Application has changed. Upon further consideration, the current Examiner believes the Species requirement (mailed July 27, 2007) is incomplete. The current Examiner believes the following Species requirement more accurately represents the disclosed and currently claimed (as filed March 4, 2008) patentably distinct species.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species
 - Species I figures 1 - 11
 - **Species II** figures 12 - 19
 - **Species III** figures 20 - 27
 - **Species IV** figures 28 - 30
 - Species V figure 31
- 2. The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.
- 3. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, NONE of the claims are generic.
- 4. There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g.,

searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search

queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another

Page 3

species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101

and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37

CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including

any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are

generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

6. The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to

petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically

point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as

an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be

considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to

petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, Applicant must indicate

which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

7. Should Applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

Applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species

to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may

be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,824 Page 4

Art Unit: 2622

8. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, Applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Justin P Misleh whose telephone number is 571.272.7313. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Lin Ye can be reached on 571.272.7372. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571.273.8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Justin P. Misleh/ Examiner, Art Unit 2622 May 24, 2008